

**The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston** 

### **School of Biomedical** Informatics

## Introduction

- Much of healthcare depends on distributed teams of clinical providers [1]. However, health information technologies (HIT) generally fail to support clinical teams in areas such as communication, collaboration, coordination, and temporal awareness [2].
- Emergent features of teams, like communication through interruptions, require support.

#### **INTERRUPTIONS**

- Interruptions are an inherent team activity as they are a form of coordination and communication between team members.
- While unnecessary interruptions have the potential to lead to increased patient risk [3], some interruptions are in fact necessary [4].
- US Emergency Department (ED) Physicians are interrupted on average 10 times per hour and ED Nurses are interrupted at a rate of 1 interruption every 4.5 minutes [3, 5].
- Interruptions have been examined considering rate of interruption, interrupted activities, and those receiving or initiating interruptions.
- For this project, we use a work design method to delve into the content of interruptions to determine their function with the goal of redesigning communication practices to better align team roles and responsibilities.

## Methods

#### **DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE**

- Setting: ED teaching hospital in a major metropolitan area
- Participants: Six Attending Physicians
- Data Collection: Ethnographic observation of two shifts of four hours each

#### DATA ANALYSIS

- Interruptions that occurred as part of work were isolated and coded for the participants involved, tasks interrupted, function of the interruption, and other similar features.
- These results were classified based on previous literature to the type of care interrupted, Direct or Indirect Care, Initiator and Recipient of the interruptions, as well as the function of the interruption as Overhead or Domain-type (Table 1).

# **Building for the Team: Developing a Model to Support Collective Effort** Vickie Nguyen, MA, Amy Franklin, PhD

The National Center for Cognitive Decision Making in Healthcare and The School of Biomedical Informatics | The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston

- hour, SD = 4.86) were observed.
- Only 8% of interruptions occurred at the patient's bedside.

#### **OVERHEAD AND DOMAIN INTERRUPTIONS**

- 22% of the interruptions were classified as Overhead-type interruptions unnecessary to the work process which can impede optimal patient care (Figure 1).
- Common Overhead interruptions were: Finding misplaced clinicians; Relaying information to other clinicians; Charting problems (technical issues); Repetition of tasks completed by other clinicians.
- Attendings were the Recipients of 141 Overhead-type interruptions suggesting that other team members, such as Residents (37%), transferred responsibilities unnecessary to the Attendings' work process to the Attendings' list of tasks which can delay patient care (Figure 2).
- As Initiators of Overhead interruptions, Attendings dealt with technical issues (39%) inhibiting their workflow and delegated tasks to or monitored Residents (26%) (Figure 2).



Overhead Domain Figure 1: Interruptions by work domain type.

#### Table 1. Terms and definitions used to classify interruptions.

TERMS	
Interruption	A break in the perform results in the susper primary task [4].
Indirect Care	Care delivered for t
Direct Care	Care delivered to the
Initiator	A person who initia
Recipient	The person to be ir
Overhead	Interruptions that a Often caused by th
Domain	Interruptions neces EKGs) [7].

## Results

• 754 interruptions in which Attending Physicians were either the Recipient or Initiator of an interruption (M = 17.14 per

• 78% of these interruptions occurred during Indirect Care activities such as charting.

Attendings received interruptions from Residents (41%), Nurses (13%), and Consulting Physicians (28%).

Attendings as Initiators (14%)



#### Attendings as Recipients (86%)



Residents & Physician Assistants Consulting Physicians Technicians & Administratives Nurses Patients & Families Other (Technology, etc.) Figure 2: Breakdown of Overhead interruptions

by role as an Initiator or Recipient.

#### DEFINITIONS

ormance of a human activity initiated by a source internal or external to the recipient. This break ension of an initial task to perform an unplanned task which results in a break or termination of the

the patient, but not at the patient's bedside (e.g., Data Analysis, Charting, Reporting) [3].

he patient at the patient's bedside (e.g., Surgical Procedure) [3].

ates an interruption [6].

nterrupted [6].

are not clinically dependent and create disturbed work processes (e.g., Technology Workarounds). ne implementation of poorly designed systems or workflow methods [7].

ssary for the completion of clinical tasks and create undisturbed work processes (e.g., Interpreting



- should be removed.

- 2000;12(3):117-132.

- 2010;79:109-115.

## Acknowledgements

We thank our participants and collaborators for facilitating and assisting us with this study. Special thanks to my mentor, Amy Franklin. This research was supported by a training fellowship from the Keck Center AHRQ Training Program in Patient Safety and Quality of the Gulf Coast Consortia (AHRQ Grant No. T32 HS017586-05).

### Discussion

Examining the content and function of interruptions reveals that assistance is needed to manage Overhead interruptions often initiated during Indirect Care and directed towards the leader to complete tasks unrelated to the work process and their role and responsibility to the team.

Nearly a quarter of all interruptions are

Overhead interruptions (*i.e.*, finding clinicians to relay information or technical problems) and

 Team interruptions like interpreting EKGs, patient notifications, and communication between providers need further support.

## **Summary of Conclusions**

We demonstrate a need and a new way of understanding collective effort by modeling a team activity like interruptions according to content and function. Our model shows that 22% of interruptions are unnecessary. The remaining two-thirds are forms of team activity. Such system-based communication and coordination may require additional support.

### References

1) Patel VL, Cytryn KN, Shortliffe EH, Safran C. The collaborative health care team: The role of individual and group expertise. Teach Learn Med.

2) Ash JS, Berg M, Coiera E. Some unintended consequences of information technology in health care: The nature of patient care information systemrelated errors. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2004;11:104-112.

Chisholm CD, Dornfeld AM, Nelson DR, Cordell WH. Work interrupted: A comparison of workplace interruptions in emergency departments and primary care office. Ann Emerg Med. 2011;38(2):146-151.

4) Berg LM, Källberg AS, Göransson KE, Östergren J, Florin J, Ehrenberg A. Interruptions in emergency department work: An observational and interview study. Qual Saf Health Care. 2013;00:1-8.

5) Allard J, Wyatt J, Bleakley A, Graham B. "Do you really need to ask me that now?": A self-audit of interruptions the 'shop floor' practice of a UK consultant emergency physician. Emerg Med J. 2012;29:872-876.

6) Brixey JJ, Robinson DJ, Turley JP, Zhang J. The roles of MDs and RNs as initiators and recipients of interruptions in workflow. Int J Med Inform.

7) Zhang J, Butler KA. UFuRT: A work-centered framework and process for design and evaluation of information systems. Proc HCI Int. 2007;1:1-5.

Please contact: Vickie.Nguyen@uth.tmc.edu